UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 1 2 FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEVADA 3 Case No.: 2:18-CV-00673-JCM-BNW 4 STEVEN R. DAVIS, an individual, Plaintiffs, STIPULATION FOR EXTENSION 5 OF TIME TO REPLY TO 6 DEFENDANT CENTURYLINK, vs. **INC.'S, RESPONSE TO** 7 UNITEL VOICE, LLC, et al PLAINTIFF'S SECOND MOTION TO AMEND COMPLAINT 8 Defendants. PURSUANT TO FED. R. CIV. P. 15 (a) (2) AND 15 (c)(1) (B) & (C) 9 (First Request) 10 11 Plaintiff Steven R. Davis, pro se, and CenturyLink Inc. through its undersigned 12 counsel of record, agree that upon the Court's approval, Plaintiff's Reply to Defendant 13 CenturyLink, Inc.'s Response to his Second Motion to Amend, currently due on August 21, 14 2019, shall be due two weeks from that date, on September 4, 2019, for the reasons set forth 15 below: 16 1. Defendant CenturyLink Inc. Opposition to Plaintiff's Second Motion to Amend 17 was filed on August 14, 2019. 2. Plaintiff, via email has requested an Extension to September 4, 2019 to file his 18 19 Reply. 20 3. Plaintiff is pro se and has limited access to legal resources and needs the 21 additional time to craft his Reply. 22 4. Attorney Lauren D. Calvert communicated her assent. 23 24 Stipulation for Extension of Time to Reply to Opposition to Second Motion to Amend 25 Page 1 of 4

1	5. Accordingly, Plaintiff and Defendant, CenturyLink, Inc. agree that, upon the
2	Court's approval, Plaintiff's Reply to Defendant's response to Plaintiff's
3	Second Motion to Amend shall be due on or before September 4, 2019.
4	6. This Stipulation has been entered before the Motion is otherwise due.
5	7. Pursuant to Local Rule 6-1, this is Plaintiff and Defendant's first request for an
6	extension of this deadline.
7	8. The parties seek this extension in good faith and not for purposes of delay.
8	9. No party would be prejudiced by the granting of this stipulated motion for an
9	extension of time.
10	Dated, this the 20 th day of August 2019, by the undersigned Plaintiff and counsel
11	for Defendant, CenturyLink, Inc.
12 13	/s/ Steve R. Davis
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Steve R. Davis, Pro Per 4038 Velarde Ct. Las Vegas, NV 89120 (786) 753-1931 steverdavis@mail.com DATED: August 22, 2019 Messner Reeves, LLP /s/Lauren Clavert, Esq. M. CALEB MEYER< ESQ> Nevada Bar # 13379 LAUREN CALVERT, ESQ. Nevada Bar #10534 8945 West Russell Road Suite 300 Las Vegas, NV 89148 Attorneys for Defendant, CeenturyLink, Inc.
23	Attorneys for Defendant, Ceentury Link, inc.
24	Stipulation for Extension of Time to Reply to Opposition to Second Motion to Amend
25	Page 2 of 4

1	ORDER
2	IT IS SO ORDERED.
3	Dated: , 2019
4	, 2019
5	II C. District India.
6	U.S. District Judge
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	Stipulation for Extension of Time to Reply to Opposition to Second Motion to Amend
25	Page 3 of 4

1	<u>CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE</u>
2	I, the undersigned Plaintiff certify that the foregoing was served on the 20th day of August,
3	2019, via the Court's CM/ECF system, which generated a notice of electronic filing and distributed
4	the foregoing STIPULATION TO EXTEND TIME BETWEEN THE PLAINTIFF AND
5	DEFENDANT CENTURYLINK, INC.' FOR PLAINTIFF TO REPLY TO CENTURYLINK,
6	INC.'S OPPOSITION TO HIS SECOND MOTION TO AMEND COMPLAINT PURSUANT
7	TO FED. R. CIV. P. 15 (a)(2); 15(c)(1)(B) & (C) and all attachments thereto to all counsel of
8	record to have appeared in the above-titled action:
9	Joseph R. Ganley
10	Patricia Lee HUTCHISON & STEFFEN, PLLC
11	10080 West Alta Drive, Suite 200 Las Vegas, Nevada 89145
12	jganley@hutchlegal.com plee@hutchlegal.com
13	Irwin Schwartz BLA Schwartz, PC
14	One University Avenue, Suite 302B Westwood, Massachusetts 02090
15	ischwartz@blaschwartz.com Attorneys for Defendant Somos, Inc.
16	J. Malcolm DeVoy (Nevada Bar No. 11950)
17	Erica A. Bobak (Nevada Bar No. 13828) DeVoy Law P.C.
18	2575 Montessouri Street, Suite 201 Las Vegas, NV 89117
19	ecf@devoylaw.com Attorneys for Defendant Telecom Management Group, Inc., d/b/a Unitel,
20	
21	/s/ Steven Davis Steven R. Davis
22	4038 Velarde Court Las Vegas, NV 89120
23	
24	Stipulation for Extension of Time to Reply to Opposition to Second Motion to Amend
25	Page 4 of 4